

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/727,491	Applicant(s) IBARRA ET AL.	
	Examiner Richard A. Rosenberger	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Richard A. Rosenberger.

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Kasper (25,426).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

There is a question of subject matter eligibility of claim 1 and claims dependent therefrom under the "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility", Notices: 22 November 2005. To avoid this question, it was agreed to amend claim 1 by examiner's amendment to include a final step of "sorting a paint product on the basis of an assigned category". .